Vinai

Inai Technologies Co

## √inai

# **Operations Security Procedure**

Version 1 - Approved by Shreyas Srinivas

Page 1 of 7 Company Internal Created on sprinto.com

 Vinai

Inai Technologies Co

## **Contents**

- 1. Objective
- 2. Scope
- 3. Operational Security Procedure
- 4. Document Security Classification
- 5. Non-Compliance
- 6. Responsibilities
- 7. Schedule
- 8. Version history

Page 2 of 7 Company Internal Created on sprinto.com

Vinai Technologies Co

## 1. Objective

The objective of this procedure is to provide guidelines to ensure the operational security of Inai Technologies Co's services through procedures for backup, change management, logging, and vulnerability management.

## 2. Scope

This procedure covers all systems within our production environment. The production environment includes all cloud assets used in hosting and its subdomains.

## 3. Operations Security Procedure

#### 3.1. Change Management Procedure

#### 3.1.1. Use of Version Control Systems

- All software developed in the service of Inai Technologies Co or any subdomain of Inai Technologies
  Co's products should be version controlled i.e. the latest version of our software as well as any previous
  version of our software are readily available.
- Inai Technologies Co uses a decentralized version control system like git for code changes. This allows
  engineers to work on bug fixes, new feature development, and other independent projects
  simultaneously. Before synchronizing with the central repository, it is recommended that engineers work
  on local branches created from an appropriate version of the central repository. All changes must be
  tested locally before the changes are deployed to users.

#### 3.1.2. Initiating Planned Changes

- While developing new features in Inai Technologies Co's products, it is recommended to start a new
  feature branch in git. All requirements and specifications of a feature may not be known at the beginning
  of the development of the feature. One can create new branches of the feature branch to develop subfeatures as necessary.
- Most feature branches exist on the local systems of developers working on the feature. They need not
  be synced with the central, company-wide, repository. However, when a feature is considered ready to
  be used by customers, a pull request is created. Any developer or software engineer can initiate a pull
  request.
- Alternatively, for other changes like network changes, it is recommended to be tracked in a ticketing platform where change its owner, approver, its impact and details steps for rollback are well documented

Page 3 of 7 Company Internal Created on sprinto.com

 Vinai

 Inai Technologies Co

#### 3.1.3. Approving Planned Changes

A pull request outlines the differences in code that this feature proposes. A pull request has to be
reviewed by other peer developers or managers. All pull requests should be reviewed and approved by
someone who is not the author of the changes. It is recommended (but not necessary) that a pull
request be reviewed by someone who has expertise in the area where the changes are proposed.

- Some automated triggers, like tests, can be integrated with pull requests. That is, a pull request might
  automatically prompt an automated set of tests to run on the changed code, indicating whether it
  passes some basic safety checks. Other such checks might include code quality, code linting, or code
  style checks. The results of such checks are recommended to be logged by the change management
  system.
- A similar approach is recommended in case of using a ticketing system, it should be ensured that every ticket has an owner who raises an approval request to the relevant head
- Before approving and merging a pull request or ticket, the reviewer checks that all prerequisites are
  met. Typical checks that the reviewer is encouraged to perform are listed below. Not all items in the list
  are necessary (depending on the type of change), nor is the list exhaustive. Please use your judgment
  to determine what is necessary, depending on the change at hand. Below are some questions to ask:
  - Does the proposed change solve the problem it set out to solve? Are all requirements for solving the problem met? If not, were reasonable trade-offs made?
  - Are there any unintended consequences of this change to other parts of the system?
  - Does the change adversely affect any related or unrelated user experience?
  - Are there any algorithmic or logical errors in the proposed change?
  - Does the proposed change require changes in the environment itself (like adding production environment variables etc)?
  - Could the change create performance issues for itself (or other parts of the system)?
  - Could the proposed change be achieved in a more extensible, robust, or less disruptive way?

#### 3.1.4. Unplanned Changes

- Sometimes, it becomes necessary to apply unplanned changes, like hotfixes, to the production system
  in order to maintain Inai Technologies Co's operational effectiveness. This is usually done to address a
  situation where the production system is in an undesired state either from a customer-experience
  standpoint (like critical bugs, system-down, etc.) or from a security standpoint.
- Depending on the urgency of the fix required, unplanned changes may skip the requirements of a peer review/approval. Such requests are peer-reviewed post facto.
- In such cases, we can create changes in a new branch. For all such cases, the commit and/or pull request messages should detail the nature of the issue being fixed as a result of this change.

 Vinai

 Inai Technologies Co

Unplanned changes follow the same process as planned changes (at least one review or approval from someone who is not the author of the change).

 Since we use a version control system, emergency changes can be rolled back if it has unintended or undesirable consequences.

### 3.2. Backup Procedure

- Inai Technologies Co shall have a daily full backup configured for all customer data on the Infrastructure operated on Inai Technologies Co.
- The backup retention period shall be configured to a minimum of 7 days.
- Restoration shall be performed on the backup to ensure that data is readable/accessible. This exercise shall be performed at least once every year.
- The restoration tests shall be documented. The backup snapshot that was restored shall be documented along with any sanity checks performed to ensure that the restoration was successful.
- Restoration tests shall be performed by the administrators of Inai Technologies Co's production infrastructure along with the Information Security Officer.
- In an unlikely event of a natural or human-induced disaster, a disaster recovery plan needs to be in
  place for the systems to recover from the failure and be up and running. This can be achieved in the
  form of tabletop exercises which must be carried out by the Engineering Head and the Information
  Security Officer.

#### 3.3. Vulnerability Management Procedure

- Inai Technologies Co performs various internal vulnerability scans and package monitoring on a constant basis.
- The Information Security Officer must ensure that Inai Technologies Co also performs external vulnerability scans/penetration tests periodically.
- All vulnerabilities detected by vulnerability scanners are tracked together along with their severity.
- It is the responsibility of the Infra operations person to ensure that vulnerabilities are remediated by the engineering team within defined SLAs (Process Config page).
- It is important to track the SLAs for the remediation of vulnerabilities. In case SLA is breached, it is the
  responsibility of the Information Security Officer along with engineering leads to ensure appropriate
  actions are taken. E.g. If a vulnerability needs more time to remediate, ensure that the justification for
  the same is documented.
- Vulnerabilities that are identified through external assessments may also be tracked along with other vulnerabilities for their closure if required.
- The engineering team addresses the reported vulnerabilities and tracks them to resolution. Resolution statuses can include (but are not limited to) the following:

Page 5 of 7 Company Internal Created on sprinto.com

Vinai Technologies Co

• Fixed: This means that the reported vulnerability has been fixed via a patch or system changes.

- Inaccurate/Incorrect/False-positive: This means that the reported vulnerability has been thoroughly investigated, but found to be invalid.
- Vulnerable but section unused: This means that the reported vulnerability affects parts of the codebase/system that are not in use, and consequently the vulnerability is no longer a threat.
- Acceptable risk: This means that the reported vulnerability has been analyzed and deemed to
  not pose any debilitating risk to the system. This is a rare-case scenario, and should only occur
  when there are extenuating circumstances or extremely high remediation costs.

## 4. Document Security Classification

Company Internal (please refer to the Data Classification policy for details).

## 5. Non-Compliance

Compliance with this policy shall be verified through various methods, including but not limited to automated reporting, audits, and feedback to the policy owner. Any staff member found to be in violation of this policy may be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination of employment or contractual agreement. The disciplinary action shall depend on the extent, intent, and repercussions of the specific violation.

## 6. Responsibilities

The Information Security Officer is responsible for approving and reviewing policy and related procedures. Supporting functions, departments, and staff members shall be responsible for implementing the relevant sections of the policy in their area of operation.

### 7. Schedule

This document should be reviewed annually and whenever significant changes occur in the organization.

End of Operations Security Procedure. For version history, please see the next page.

Page 6 of 7 Company Internal Created on sprinto.com

 Vinai

Inai Technologies Co

# **Version history**

Version	Log	Date
1 Currer	t Policy version approved by Shreyas Srinivas	12 Mar, 2024
1	New Policy version Created	12 Mar, 2024